

HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SIERRA CLUB, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	No. C11-1759BJR
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CONSENT DECREE BETWEEN
and	)	PLAINTIFFS, PLAINTIFF-
	)	INTERVENOR, AND DEFENDANTS
THE SPOKANE TRIBE OF INDIANS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Intervenor,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
MICHELLE PIRZADEH, ACTING	)	
REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR OF THE	)	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	)	
AGENCY, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants,	)	
	)	
and	)	
	)	
SPOKANE COUNTY; KAISER	)	
ALUMINUM WASHINGTON LLC; and	)	
STATE OF WASHINGTON	)	
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY,	)	
	)	
Defendant-Intervenors.	)	
	)	

1 WHEREAS, this Consent Decree is between Plaintiffs Sierra Club and Center for  
2 Environmental Law & Policy (collectively “Sierra Club/CELP” or “Plaintiffs”), Plaintiff-  
3 Intervenor Spokane Tribe of Indians (“the Tribe”), and Defendants Michelle Pirzadeh, the Acting  
4 Regional Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, et al., (collectively “EPA”);

5 WHEREAS, in this lawsuit, Plaintiffs and the Tribe allege, among other things, that  
6 based upon an alleged constructive submission by the Washington State Department of Ecology  
7 (“Ecology”), EPA has a nondiscretionary duty under Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act to  
8 approve or disapprove and, upon disapproval, issue Total Maximum Daily Loads (“TMDLs”) for  
9 polychlorinated biphenyls (“PCBs”) for certain segments of the Spokane River, the Little  
10 Spokane River, and Lake Spokane (Long Lake) in Washington State, which are all listed as  
11 impaired for PCBs;  
12

13 WHEREAS, in 2006, Ecology prepared and distributed for stakeholder review draft PCB  
14 TMDLs for certain PCB-impaired segments of the Spokane River, Little Spokane River, and  
15 Lake Spokane (Long Lake) in Washington State, but subsequently stopped work on and issuance  
16 of PCB TMDLs for those segments;  
17

18 WHEREAS, this Court has previously issued rulings on a number of dispositive motions  
19 in this case, including the Court’s March 16, 2015 decision, in which the Court found that  
20 Ecology had prioritized development of PCB TMDLs for the referenced PCB-impaired segments  
21 and that at the time Ecology had come “dangerously close” to a constructive submission for  
22 those impaired segments;  
23

24 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and the Tribe allege, among other things, that in the intervening  
25 years, Ecology has constructively submitted no PCB-TMDLs for these impaired segments; and  
26  
27  
28  
29

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA (collectively the “Parties”) intend to resolve Plaintiffs’ and the Tribe’s claims and this lawsuit without any further adjudication and without any admission of any issue of fact or law.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered, adjudged, and decreed as follows:

1) EPA shall issue PCB TMDLs for the following PCB-impaired water segments Assessment Units in the Spokane River, the Little Spokane River, and/or Lake Spokane (Long Lake) located in Washington State (or as these same PCB-impaired Assessment Units have been or may be subsequently renumbered by the Washington State Department of Ecology): 17010305000009; 17010305000010; 17010305000011; 17010305000012; 17010307000010; 17010307000774; 17010307009102; 17010307009615; 17010308000018; 47117H513; 47117I6C1; 47117I7D4; 47117I8C2; 47117I5A4; 47117H5J8; 47117I7E2; 47117I7D3; 47117I7B9 and 47117I5A5. EPA shall issue the TMDLs by a deadline of September 30, 2024. For purposes of this Consent Decree, TMDL has the meaning provided at 40 C.F.R. § 130.2(i) as of the date of entry of this Decree.

2) Until this Consent Decree terminates pursuant to paragraph 15, EPA shall file status reports with the Court every 180 days to apprise the Parties and the Court of EPA’s progress satisfying the requirement in paragraph 1 and of the work EPA intends to undertake during the next 180 day period.

3) The Parties recognize that circumstances may arise that warrant the modification of the September 30, 2024, deadline in paragraph 1 above. The deadline may be extended by written agreement of Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA, with notice provided to the Court. To the extent the Parties are not able to agree to an extension of the deadline in paragraph 1 of this

1 Consent Decree, EPA may seek a modification of the date in accordance with the procedures  
2 specified below.

3           a.       If EPA files a motion requesting a modification of the September 30, 2024  
4 deadline in paragraph 1 by more than 30 days but less than 91 days (that is, to no later  
5 than December 29, 2024), provides notice to Plaintiffs and the Tribe at least 30 days prior  
6 to filing such motion, and files the motion at least 60 days prior to the deadline in  
7 paragraph 1, then the filing of such motion shall automatically extend the date in  
8 paragraph 1. Such automatic extension shall remain in effect until the earlier of (i) a  
9 dispositive ruling by this Court on such motion, or (ii) the date (or number of days)  
10 sought in the motion (that is, to no later than December 29, 2024).

11           b.       If EPA files a motion requesting a modification of the September 30,  
12 2024 deadline in paragraph 1 by 30 days or less (that is, to no later than October 30,  
13 2024), provides notice to Plaintiffs at least 15 days prior to the deadline in paragraph 1,  
14 and files the motion at least 7 days prior to the date for which modification is sought, then  
15 the filing of such motion shall automatically extend the deadline in paragraph 1. Such  
16 extension shall remain in effect until the earlier of (i) a dispositive ruling by this Court on  
17 such motion, or (ii) the date (or number of days) sought in the motion (that is, to no later  
18 than October 30, 2024).

19           c.       EPA may, after conferring with Plaintiffs and the Tribe, file a motion for  
20 a modification of the deadline in paragraph 1 without providing notice and satisfying the  
21 advance filing requirements pursuant to subparagraphs 2(a) or 2(b). No automatic  
22 extension will be given if EPA seeks an extension under this subparagraph, although EPA  
23 may move the Court for a stay of the deadline in paragraph 1.

1  
2 4) Any provision of this Consent Decree, including paragraph 1, may otherwise be  
3 modified (a) in a written agreement of Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA, with notice provided to the  
4 Court or (b) by the Court in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b), provided that the movant for  
5 such relief first confers with the other Parties prior to filing its motion.  
6

7 5) Before Plaintiffs or the Tribe may file a motion to enforce any requirement of this  
8 Consent Decree, that party shall provide EPA with no less than 30 days advance written notice of  
9 the basis for any alleged non-compliance and an opportunity to meet to discuss this matter.  
10

11 6) Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to otherwise confer upon this  
12 Court jurisdiction to review any decision, either procedural or substantive, made by EPA  
13 pursuant to this Consent Decree, including the PCB TMDLs issued by EPA, except for the  
14 limited purpose of determining EPA's compliance with any requirement of this Consent Decree.  
15 The Parties agree that Plaintiffs' and the Tribe's sole remedy should they dispute the PCB  
16 TMDLs EPA issues pursuant to this Consent Decree is to challenge EPA's action issuing those  
17 TMDLs in a new lawsuit. EPA reserves all its defenses to any such suit or suits.  
18

19 7) Plaintiffs and the Tribe reserve the right to seek an award of reasonable attorneys'  
20 fees and costs under the Clean Water Act fee-shifting provision, and EPA reserves the right to  
21 contest any such fees and costs claimed by Plaintiffs or the Tribe. In the event that such a claim  
22 for fees and costs cannot be resolved through a separate settlement, Plaintiffs and the Tribe may  
23 file petitions with the Court for the award of such fees and costs no later than 165 days after the  
24 effective date of this Consent Decree. Plaintiffs and the Tribe may file such petitions before  
25 such time if the Parties agree that a fee settlement cannot be reached.  
26  
27

28 8) No provision of this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as or constitute a  
29

1 commitment or requirement that the United States or EPA obligate or pay funds in contravention  
2 of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341. Except as explicitly provided for in this Consent  
3 Decree, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to curtail the discretion afforded EPA  
4 under the Clean Water Act or the Administrative Procedure Act.

5 9) This Consent Decree is the product of good faith, arm's length negotiations by the  
6 Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA. Each of these Parties contributed to its drafting. In any dispute  
7 over the meaning of any provision of this Consent Decree, Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA shall be  
8 treated as having contributed equally to the drafting of that provision.  
9

10 10) This Consent Decree represents the full and final resolution of any and all claims,  
11 known or unknown, that have been, could have been, could now be, or could hereafter be  
12 asserted by Plaintiffs and the Tribe under any federal, state, or local law or regulation against  
13 EPA in connection with (a) the assertions in Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Complaint (Dkt. 213)  
14 and the Tribe's Fourth Amended Complaint (Dkt. 226), (b) any assertions that PCB TMDLs are  
15 required for the PCB-impaired segments of the Spokane River, the Little Spokane River, and  
16 Lake Spokane (Long Lake) in Washington State specified in paragraph 1 above, (c) any  
17 assertions that EPA's prior Plan for addressing PCBs in the Spokane River (Dkt. 129-1), or  
18 alleged actions or inactions by EPA with regard to or based on that Plan, are arbitrary,  
19 capricious, contrary to law, or otherwise subject to judicial compulsion, and (d) all claims that  
20 would have been precluded under res judicata and related principles of preclusion had this matter  
21 proceeded to judgment on all claims in Plaintiffs' Third Supplemental Complaint and the Tribe's  
22 Fourth Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs and the Tribe covenant not to bring any judicial or  
23 administrative action or lawsuit under any federal, state, or local law or regulation against EPA  
24 with regard to the foregoing.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

11) Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA agree that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest. This Consent Decree was negotiated and executed in good faith and at arm's length and is a fair and equitable compromise of disputed claims. This Consent Decree is not and shall not constitute or be construed as an admission by EPA of any factual or legal allegations made by Plaintiffs or the Tribe, an admission of liability, or an admission of any other kind or character whatsoever by EPA. Neither this Consent Decree nor EPA's performance under this Consent Decree is intended to have, and shall not be deemed to have, any evidentiary or precedential effect in any other judicial or administrative action involving claims asserted against EPA.

12) This Consent Decree shall be governed by and construed under federal law.

13) The individuals signing this Consent Decree on behalf of Plaintiffs, the Tribe, and EPA hereby certify that they are authorized to bind their respective party to this Consent Decree. This Consent Decree may be executed by multiple signature pages.

14) This Consent Decree shall be effective upon the date of its entry by the Court.

15) The Consent Decree shall terminate when EPA has issued PCB TMDLs for the impaired segments of the Spokane River, the Little Spokane River, and Lake Spokane (Long Lake) in Washington State as set forth in paragraph 1 above.

16) All deliverables, modifications, notices, notifications, and requests specified in this Consent Decree must be in writing unless otherwise specified. Whenever, under this Decree, notice is required to be given, or a document is required to be sent, by one Party to another, it must be directed to the person(s) specified below at the address(es) and email(s) specified below. Any Party may change the person and/or address applicable by providing notice of such change to all Parties in writing or via email. All notices under this Decree are

effective upon receipt, unless otherwise specified. Except as otherwise provided, written notice to a party by regular mail in accordance with this Decree satisfies any notice requirement of the Decree regarding such party.

**As to EPA:**

David J. Kaplan  
United States Department of Justice  
Environmental Defense Section  
P.O. Box 7611  
Washington D.C. 20044  
david.kaplan@usdoj.gov

**As Plaintiffs:**

Marc Zemel  
Richard Smith  
Smith & Lowney, PLLC  
2317 E. John St.  
Seattle WA 98112  
marc@smithandlowney.com  
richard@smithandlowney.com

**As to the Tribe:**

Ted C. Knight, Special Legal Counsel  
Office of the Spokane Tribal Attorney  
Spokane Tribe of Indians  
PO Box 100  
Wellpinit, WA 99040  
Phone (509) 953-1908  
tedk@spokanetribe.com

17) This Consent Decree constitutes the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding among the Parties regarding the settlement embodied in this Decree. The Parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements, or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Decree.

18) Upon entry of this Consent Decree by the Court, this Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the Parties. The Court finds that there is no just reason for



1 delay and, therefore, enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed. R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

2 This Court retains jurisdiction over this Consent Decree until it terminates pursuant to paragraph  
3 15.

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED, this 11th day of February, 2022.  
6

7  
8 s/Barbara J. Rothstein  
9 Barbara Jacobs Rothstein  
10 U.S. District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

Signature Page for Consent Decree

**FOR DEFENDANTS:**

Todd Kim  
Assistant Attorney General  
Environment & Natural Resources Division

/s/ David Kaplan Dated: January 25, 2022

David J. Kaplan  
Attorneys for Federal Defendants  
United States Department of Justice  
Environmental Defense Section  
P.O. Box 7611  
Washington, DC 20044  
(202) 514-0997  
David.kaplan@usdoj.gov

Nicholas W. Brown  
United States Attorney  
Brian Kipnis  
Assistant United States Attorney  
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220  
Seattle, WA 98101-1271

**FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

/s/ Marc Zemel Dated: January 25, 2022

Marc Zemel  
Richard Smith  
Smith & Lowney, PLLC  
2317 E. John St.,  
Seattle, WA 98112  
(206) 860-2883

**FOR PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR THE SPOKANE TRIBE**

/s/ Ted Knight Dated: January 25, 2022

Ted C. Knight  
Special Legal Counsel  
Office of the Spokane Tribal Attorney  
Spokane Tribe of Indians  
PO Box 100  
Wellpinit, WA 99040  
Phone (509) 953-1908  
tedk@spokanetribe.com

CONSENT DECREE  
Case No. C11-1759BJR

- 10

David J. Kaplan.  
United States Department of Justice  
Environmental Defense Section  
P.O. Box 7611  
Washington D.C. 20044